Conclusions

• Charities want to be more involved however not happening effectively.
• Need for charity run events, but must be better tailored than current efforts.
• Majority of participants would consider future interactions with charities (Fig 5).
• Better self promotion & advertising from charities is needed.

Discussion

• 95% said charity events were worthwhile.
• However, 28% said e.g.: “…I do think the running master class sounds a bit elite” pt22
• 71% said sport should be widely available e.g. “I think sport is suitable for all…” pt12
• Further events suggested by participants seen in Figure 3.
• 1/3 of participants had applied for charity funding.
• Only 41% were aware that grants were available from charities (Fig 4).
• 12 Clinicians would prefer direct contact to advertise grants e.g. “…emailing prosthetic service managers and getting directly in contact…” pt12

Aims

Qualitatively explore the opinions of prosthetic rehabilitation professionals on charities, and their possible role in the support of patients.

Methodology

• Ethical approval sought and granted by University of Strathclyde.
• Questionnaire and semi-structured interview were used to gain clinicians perceptions on charities possible role in the support of patients.
• 22 participants recruited (Fig 1) from 4 prosthetic centres across Scotland (Fig 2).
• PORT-ER provided ‘Raising the Bar’ framework and funded travel to prosthetic centres.
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